From: pschram@bresnan.net
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 11:08:32 AM
Importance: High

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should:

- remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional (really? "optional"? WTF?);
- replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
- remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser; and
- place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

In other words, draft a rule that will actually provide regulation that would lessen corruption- not increase it. I am not really surprised I have to send this message but I still hope for the day when corruption will not reside in our Congress and Supreme Court (we deserve more than just political hacks like thomas, alito and their ilk). I can only do so much against our corrupt S.C. I CAN tell you people this proposed draft is garbage and needs to be re-drafted.

Spike Cohen

Billings, MT