From: Carol Foisset
To: USOGE
Subject: Re: Proposed Rule:Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN-3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 11:02:16 AM

I oppose OGE's proposal legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should

*remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
*replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5 year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
*remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harassed;and
*place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistle blowers

On Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 7:59 AM Carol Foisset <carol.foisset@gmail.com> wrote:

> I oppose OGE's proposal legal expense fund regulation as drafted. OGE should
>
> *remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional;
> *replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5 year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
> *remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harassed;and
> *place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistle blowers