**From:** Elizabeth McCoy
**To:** Contact OGE
**Subject:** Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
**Date:** Thursday, June 16, 2022 3:42:03 PM

---

I oppose OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. As kids say, it's bad and should feel bad. A toothless optional rule that enables corruption to thrive is not in anyone's interests (except the corrupt).

OGE should:
• remove the exception that makes compliance with the regulation optional.

• replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests.

• remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser, which is chilling to potential victims.

• place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.

Please put some actual teeth into anti-corruption rules, by adopting these changes.

Sent from my iPhone